

Author:

Karen Sam

Quantitative Analysis of Low Levels of Methyl Methacrylate in a Copolymer

Application Note

General Interest

Abstract

Quantitative analysis of poly(methyl methacrylate) contamination with a calibration curve.

Introduction

When a material is made using several different monomers, like a styrene/butadiene rubber, ethylene/propylene copolymer or a latex paint, pyrolysis-GC/MS can be a valuable tool in identifying both monomers used and quantitatively determining the relative amounts of each. Whether mixtures, blends, laminates, random or block copolymers, compounds will be produced relating to each of the monomers present, which can be used to study the molecular formula. This is frequently done using copolymers with just a few monomers, each of which represents a significant part of the copolymer. The same method can be used to determine small concentrations of monomers or even trace contaminants. In this application note, a polystyrene copolymer with levels of methyl methacrylate contamination was analyzed.

Polystyrene copolymer, approximately 100μ g, containing 0.1%, 0.2% and 0.3% and 0.4% poly(methyl methacrylate) contamination was pyrolyzed to a setpoint of 750°C using a CDS Pyroprobe 6150 to create a calibration curve.

Experimental Setup

PyroprobeModel:CDS 6150Pyrolysis:750°C 15secondsInterface:300°CTransfer Line:325°CValve Oven:325°C

GC/MS

Column:5% phenyl (30m x 0.25mm)Carrier:Helium 1.00mL/min, 50:1 splitInjector:300°COven:40°C for 2 minutes
10°C/min to 325°CIon Source:230°CMass Range:35-600amu

Results and Discussions

Figure 1 shows a pyrogram of product with 0.4% methyl methacrylate contamination. When pyrolyzed, polystyrene produces monomer, dimer, and trimer. This pyrogram shows styrene monomer, dimer and trimer as well as other peaks, including one for toluene, and a small, but still measurable peak for methyl methacrylate.





Figure 1. Polystyrene copolymer with 0.4% methyl methacrylate contamination. Peak 1: methyl methacrylate, Peak 2: toluene, Peak 3: styrene, Peak 4: styrene dimer, Peak 5: styrene trimer.

Figure 2 contrasts the 0.1% and 0.4% samples. As the relative amount of methyl methacrylate increases, its peak intensity increases. Considering the similarities in relative peak areas and retention times, the toluene peak was chosen to compare with methyl methacrylate for quantitative analysis.



Figure 2. Polystyrene copolymer with 0.1% and 0.4% MMA in copolymer. Peak 1: MMA, Peak 2: toluene.

Area ratios of these two peaks were plotted against the weight percent of methyl methacrylate in each of the standards in Figure 3. This shows a linear calibration with an a R²>0.99. Using a peak area ratio of two peaks produced by pyrolysis of the sample makes the assay independent of the sample size, so it is not necessary to weigh each sample before pyrolysis. Producing a calibration curve from polymers of known content then makes it simple to determine the amount of methyl methacrylate contained in an unknown polymer.



Figure 3. methyl methacrylate to toluene area ratio vs. methyl methacrylate % in copolymer.

Conclusions:

The linearity demonstrates that the Pyroprobe from CDS is adept at the quantitative analysis of trace level copolymer contamination.